



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4834
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND PERFORMANCE OF SOLAR DRYERS WITH BACKUP INCINERATORS FOR NSUKKA SUB-TROPICAL AND MAKURDI HUMID LOCATIONS USING SELECTED FARM PRODUCE
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	From my point of view, the submitted paper offers limited technical information (just simple experiment) and there is no any new scientific work	The submitted paper is not a simple experiment: it is both a design work, locational comparative analysis and an experimental performance evaluation; some aspect of the performance evaluation has been published; this present paper is a locational comparative analysis with some design information now incorporated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		The paper has been improved upon on the recommendation of the other reviewers
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		